

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,733	07/14/2003		Takatsugu Doi	053588-5013	3599
9629	7590	04/06/2005		EXAM	INER
MORGAN	LEWIS	& BOCKIUS LLP	SHAH, MANISH S		
	1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004				2853	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		tap					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/617,733	DOI, TAKATSUGU					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Manish S. Shah	2853					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fo	ebruary 2005.						
• — • • — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	action is non-final.						
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		. hu the Eveniner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	*						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. Is have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No 1 received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/617,733

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 12-14 & 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

With respect to claim 12, in equation (2) $0.25 \le W_3/W_1 < 0.75$, it suppose to be $0.25 \le W_3/W_1 \le 0.75$. Claims 13-14 & 20 are dependant claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (# US 6153001) in view of Doi et al. (# US 6378999).

Suzuki et al. discloses an inkjet ink including at least a pigment, a water-soluble solvent and water, wherein the water-soluble solvent including a first water-soluble solvent having a triethylene glycol has a general formula HO(C₂H₄O)₃H, has a solubility parameter (SP1) is 14 (column: 31, line: 35-40), and W1= 5 parts by weight; a second water-soluble solvent is a glycerol, has a solubility parameter is 20 (column: 32, line: 29-30), and W2= 5 parts by weight; and a third water-soluble solvent is a 2-propanol

(isopropyl alcohol), has a solubility parameter is 12 (column: 32, line: 60-62), and W3= 3 parts by weight (column: 20, line: 1-20); which satisfy the equation W2/W1= 5/5= 1, and W3/W1= 3/5= 0.6 (see Example: I-5). They also disclose that the ink including a pigment, which is self-dispersible in water (column: 7, line: 5-10), a cationic or anionic polymer (column: 7, line: 35-40), and the pigment is dispersed by the polymeric dispersant (column: 7, line: 7-10).

Suzuki et al. differs from the claim of the present invention in that an absolute value of a ξ potential of the inkjet ink in the range of 3 mV to 60 mV.

Doi et al. teaches that to have the bleed free and high optical density printed image, ink composition having an absolute value of a ξ potential (zeta potential) of the inkjet ink in the range of 20 mV to 50 mV (column: 13, line: 20-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink composition of Suzuki et al. by the aforementioned teaching of Doi et al. in order to have the bleed free and high optical density printed image.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-5 & 7-21 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,733

Art Unit: 2853

(1) Komatsu et al. (# EP 1013728 A1) discloses that the inkjet ink composition

having the zeta potential of not less than 30 mV (see Abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manish S. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

Page 4

MSS 3/31/05